

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 United States of America,) CR-92-432-RSWL
11) CR-92-418-RSWL
12)
13 Plaintiff,)
14 vs.) ORDER **DENYING**
15) DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
16 Kenner Fitzgerald Jackson,) EARLY TERMINATION OF
17) SUPERVISED RELEASE
18 Defendant.)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

17
18 Defendant Kenner Jackson's Motion for Early
19 Termination of Supervised Release was set for hearing on
20 November 25, 2008. Having taken the matter under
21 submission November 19, 2008 and having reviewed all
22 papers submitted pertaining to this motion the Court,
23 **NOW FINDS AND RULES AS FOLLOWS:**

27 ///

28 cc: USPO

1 Defendant's Motion is **DENIED**. 18 U.S.C. §
2 3583(e)(2) is inapplicable to Defendant's request
3 because it only applies for extending the period of
4 supervised release or modifying the conditions of
5 supervised release.

6 Under the applicable statute, 18 U.S.C. §
7 3583(e)(1), Defendant has not shown good cause for early
8 termination of his supervised release. Moreover,
9 Defendant has not served a full year of his supervised
10 release sentence, as required by the statute.

11 Additionally, 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1) may not be
12 used "to terminate [a] period of supervision on the
13 ground that it was illegally imposed." United States v.
14 Chilcote, 232 Fed. Appx. 708, 709 (9th Cir. 2007).
15

16
17 Defendant shall remain on supervised release under
18 the terms and conditions as previously ordered.

19
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21
22 _____/s/

23 **HONORABLE RONALD S.W. LEW**

27 Senior, U.S. District Court Judge

28
DATED: December 18, 2008